IGAD PROTOCOL FOR RESILIENCE MEASUREMENT (IPRM)

July, 2020



PEACE, PROSPERITY AND REGIONAL INTEGRATION



- The last two decades have seen an increase in the frequency and severity of disasters in the IGAD Region, driven mainly by climatic shocks, conflict and adverse macro-economic factors.
- Resilience has captured the interest of Member States, IGAD and other stakeholders
- Verifiable evidence of impact of resilience building investments remains scarce .
- MSs and IGAD do not have a structured framework for measuring resilience in the region
- In January 2020, IGAD commissioned an assessment to identify the existing resilience measurement frameworks and approaches within the region to provide a foundation on which a common approach could be adopted.
 - It was impossible to propose any of the existing resilience measurement frameworks for adoption due to their limited scope of application.
 - Proposed the development of an IGAD region specific resilience measurement framework.



EGIONAL INTEGRATION

Objective of the IPRM

- To provide a guideline for measuring resilience across the region.
- Once adopted, the framework/IPRM will be used to present the state of resilience across the region.



High-level Indicators for measuring resilience

- MSs and IGAD, proposed development of a set of high level indicators to guide tracking of resilience investments and presenting of the state of resilience within the region.
- 13 high-level indicators have been developed.
- All MSs are already reporting on a number of the proposed indicators under various frameworks such as NDPs, Africa Agenda 2063/Malabo Declaration, Sendai Framework DRR(SFDRR) and SDGs.



Indicator

IDDRISI Pillar Denote Indicator type

Indicator 1: Extent of climate change adaptation integration in national development plans	PIA 1	p1	Qualitative
Indicator 2: Domestic Food Price Volatility Index (VI)	PIA 3	р2	Quantitative
Indicator 3: Proportionate value (\$) of economic loses attributed to shocks	PIA 3	р3	Quantitative
Indicator 4: Proportion value (\$) of livestock lost during shocks	PIA 3	p4	Quantitative
Indicator 5: Proportion of agricultural area under sustainable land management	PIA 3	p5	Quantitative
Indicator 6: Proportionate number of people in need of food assistance as a result of shocks	PIA 4	р6	Quantitative
Indicator 7: Proportion value (\$) of admissible country humanitarian aid requests during shocks	PIA 4	p7	Quantitative
Indicator 8: Functional legal frameworks for disaster risk management and resilience building	PIA 4	р8	Qualitative
Indicator 9: Number of timely early warning information disseminated that translates in to early action	n PIA 4	p9	Qualitative
Indicator 10: Proportion of conflict and natural disaster-related deaths	PIA 6, 4	p10	Quantitative
Indicator 11: Prevalence of acute malnutrition by children under 5	PIA 8	p11	Quantitative
Indicator 12: Proportion of vulnerable social groups with access to social safety nets	PIA 8	p12	Quantitative
Indicator 13: Proportionate access to critical infrastructure (i.e. health, water, roads, bridges, schoo markets) by the population			
markets) by the population		p13	Quantitative

Indicator Description

Indicator 6: Proportionate number of people in need of food assistance as a result of shocks

DESCRIPTION

Precise Definition(s):	description of a measurable characteristic that shows change overtime for the IGAD IPRM.
Rationale	this refers to a set of logical reasons that qualifies the choice of indicator for inclusion in the framework.
Method of computation:	the mathematical calculation that will be used to arrive at the indicator state/score. $1 - \left[\frac{Number of people in need of food assistance as a result of shocks}{Total number of people affected by shocks}\right] X 100$
Disaggregated by:	Categorize data analysis into detailed sub-categories such as gender, livelihoods zones,
Scale	geographical coverage of the aggregation and measurement of a particular indicator.
Data Required	prescriptions of content and structure that constitute quality data for a particular indicator
Data Sources	Where data and information will be obtained for a particular indicator.
Frequency of Reporting	indicator tracking and reporting schedule (i.e annual, bi-annual)
IDDRISI PIA	4 - Disaster Risk Management
Regional/Global	

Visualization and interpretation of Indicators



Longitudinal data will be captured for each indicator overtime.

Data will be visualized using the Di-Monitoring tool

This will enable progress monitoring against targets of member states.

Computation & Visualization of the Resilience Index (RI)

- The RI will be computed after data from member states has been captured
- It will be achieved by calculating the product of the indicator scores against the weights before summation of all the indicators to give the RI of a member state.
- Results will ultimately be visualized with color coding according to measure.
- Ceiling score of 100% for each Member state.



Next steps

- Consensus building (high-level indicators and weighting)
- Capacity building data management
- Roll out and reporting (periodic)
- Review and learning





END



PEACE, PROSPERITY AND REGIONAL INTEGRATION